1	Q.	(a)	Provid	de detailed calculations of the interruptible rate credit provided to			
2			partic	participating industrials (HGB, page 7, lines 21-25).			
3							
4		(b)	What	operating criterion is used to interrupt the customers on the			
5			interru	uptible rate?			
6							
7		(c)	Provid	de the statistics on the number of interruptions per year			
8			reque	sted and the interruptible credits provided for each year since			
9			the cr	eation of the interruptible rate.			
10							
11							
12	Α	(a)	In 199	93, Hydro entered into an agreement with the Abitibi			
13			Conso	olidated Inc. mill at Stephenville for the supply to Hydro of 46			
14			MW o	f interruptible demand. The rate was determined as follows:			
15							
16		For	Demano	<u>1</u>			
17							
18		-	Capita	al Cost Estimate for a gas turbine in 1993			
19			= \$50	,987,000/50,000 kW = \$1,040/kW			
20		-	Capita	al Recovery based on a uniform amount payment using 11%			
21			intere	st and 30 year life = 0.1150 x \$1,040/kW = \$119.6/kW			
22		-	Adjus	tments to account for terms of service:			
23			1.	Annual availability – December to March. 70% of LOLE index			
24				occurs during this period, therefore \$119.6/kW x 0.70 =			
25				\$83.7/kW;			
26			2.	Daily availability – partial day with greater weighting for peak			
27				hours, i.e. \$83.7/kW x 0.75 = \$62.8/kW; and			

Equivalency – adjustment to account for inability to provide
 voltage support and emergency backups,

i.e. $$68.2/kW \times 0.90 = $56.1/kW$

- Converting the annual estimate to a monthly rate, i.e.

 $56.1/kW \div 4 = 14.1/kW$

for Energy

The energy rate was based on 90% of the production fuel cost from existing gas turbines for energy interrupted. The energy interrupted was based on the demand interrupted over the number of hours of interruption.

It was recognized that during the course of negotiations there may be other factors that would arise with respect to conditions of availability and further adjustments to the rate may be required for these changes. As well, since the monthly demand charge for firm service was \$8.25/kW and the demand credit payment by Hydro was viewed, essentially a discount to the firm service provided. It was decided to offer \$7.05/kW as the interruptible rate in negotiations, which was accepted by Abitibi Consolidated Inc.

(b) Hydro has two types of interruptible arrangements. One is power and energy supplied to industrial customers above their Power on Order and is called either Interruptible or Interruptible "A" Power, hereafter called Interruptible "A" Power. The second arrangement enables Hydro to interrupt a portion of the customer's Power on Order and is called Interruptible "B" Power. The arrangement discussed in item (a) above is Interruptible "B" Power.

ACI (Stephenville) has the only Interruptible "B" arrangement. They 1 2 interrupt their load when requested by the Energy Control Center. 3 These interruptions are requested when Hydro must start its gas 4 turbine units to meet winter peak power demands. A request to 5 interrupt is made one (1) hour in advance and the interruption can be 6 up to ten (10) hours per day. 7 8 Customers taking Interruptible "A" Power have that portion of their 9 load interrupted at anytime Hydro considers it necessary to meet firm 10 load requirements. However, at times, these customers will be given 11 the option to continue to receive the Interruptible "A" Power if they are 12 willing to pay for the energy at the cost of Hydro running its gas 13 turbine units. This option is only available when there is sufficient gas 14 turbine capability available. There are no restrictions on timing or 15 duration of these interruptions. 16 17 (c) The table below provides the statistics on the number of interruptions 18 per year requested and the interruptible credits provided for each year

since the creation of the interruptible rate.

19

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro Interruptible B Interruptions

Season	Interruptions	Energy Credit	Demand Credit	Capacity Payment	Season Total
1993/1994	5	\$41,720	\$7,512	\$1,297,200	\$1,346,432
1994/1995	3	\$37,282	\$6,793	\$1,297,200	\$1,341,275
1995/1996				\$1,297,200	\$1,297,200
1996/1997				\$1,297,200	\$1,297,200
1997/1998				\$1,297,200	\$1,297,200
1998/1999				\$1,297,200	\$1,297,200
1999/2000				\$1,297,200	\$1,297,200
2000/2001				\$1,297,200	\$1,297,200

1	Q.	Provide JAB, Schedule 1.2 recalculated using a \$28 cost of No. 6 fuel and
2		maintaining the proposed revenues for each customer as presented in Table
3		2 of PRH.

4

5 A. See attached.

Q. Provide JAB, Schedule 1.2 recalculated reducing thermal production by 100
 GWh and increasing Hydroelectric production by 100 GWh.

3

5 A. See attached.

NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR HYDRO 2002 Frcst Cost of Srvc - Prop Meth. NP-141 thermal -100 GWH, hydro + 100 GWh Total System

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Line No.	Rate Class	Revenues	Cost of Service Before Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation	Revenue Credits	Deficit	Revenue Requirement After Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation	Revenue to Cost Coverage (Col.2/3)
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(001.2/3)
	Total System						
1	Newfoundland Power	213,830,400	188,749,568	(156,446)	22,732,796	211,325,918	1.13
2	Island Industrial	50,356,509	49,400,172	186,738	-	49,586,910	1.02
3	Labrador Industrial	3,084,575	3,084,575	-	-	3,084,575	1.00
4	CFB - Goose Bay Secondary	2,991,483	138,430	2,808,854	44,199	2,991,483	21.61
5	Rural Labrador Interconnected	10,351,585	9,956,042	(2,808,854)	3,178,884	10,326,072	1.04
	Rural Deficit Areas						
6	Island Interconnected	31,639,918	36,546,955	(30,292)	(4,876,745)	31,639,918	0.87
7	Island Isolated	1,277,117	7,868,273	-	(6,591,156)	1,277,117	0.16
8	Labrador Isolated	4,205,660	17,327,951	-	(13,122,291)	4,205,660	0.24
9	L'Anse au Loup	1,136,125	2,501,812	-	(1,365,687)	1,136,125	0.45
10	Subtotal	38,258,820	64,244,991	(30,292)	(25,955,879)	38,258,820	0.60
11	Total	318,873,372	315,573,777	-	(0)	315,573,777	1.01

NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR HYDRO 2002 Frcst Cost of Srvc - Prop Meth. NP-141 thermal -100 GWH, hydro + 100 GWh

Island Interconnected

Comparison of Revenue & Allocated Revenue Requirement

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Line No.	Rate Class	Revenues	Cost of Service Before Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation	Revenue Credit	Deficit Allocation	Revenue Requirement After Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation (Col.3+4+5)	Revenue to Cost Coverage (Col.2/3)
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	
	Island Interconnected						
1	Newfoundland Power	213,830,400	188,749,568	(156,446)			
2	NLP RSP Activity	-					
3	Subtotal Newfoundland Power	213,830,400	188,749,568	(156,446)	22,732,796	211,325,918	1.13
4	Industrial - Firm	49,975,388	49,246,607	(40,818)		49,205,789	
5	Industrial - Non-Firm	381,121	153,565	227,556		381,121	
6	Industrial RSP Activity	-				-	
7	Subtotal Industrial	50,356,509	49,400,172	186,738	-	49,586,910	1.02
	Rural						
8	1.1 Domestic	9,928,516	12,336,264	(10,225)	(2,397,523)	9,928,516	0.80
9	1.12 Domestic All Electric	9,012,212	12,831,794	(10,636)	(3,808,946)	9,012,212	0.70
10	1.3 Special	10,175	19,957	(17)	(9,766)	10,175	0.51
11	2.1 General Service 0-10 kW	1,876,268	1,806,137	(1,497)	71,628	1,876,268	1.04
12	2.2 General Service 10-100 kW	4,851,683	4,286,694	(3,553)	568,542	4,851,683	1.13
13	2.3 General Service 110-1,000 kVa	3,174,877	2,453,845	(2,034)	723,065	3,174,877	1.29
14	2.4 General Service Over 1,000 kVa	2,007,061	2,201,435	(1,825)	(192,549)	2,007,061	0.91
15	4.1 Street and Area Lighting	779,126	610,829	(506)	168,803	779,126	1.28
16	Subtotal Rural	31,639,918	36,546,955	(30,292)	(4,876,745)	31,639,918	0.87
17	Total Island Interconnected	295,826,827	274,696,695	-	17,856,050	292,552,746	1.08

Note1:

Calculation of Island Industrial Non-Firm Revenue Credit Island Industrial Non-Firm Revenues, Ln 5, Col 2 Island Industrial Non-Firm Allocated Cost of Service, Ln 5, Col 3 Credit to be allocated to Firm Customers

381,121 (153,565) 227,556

NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR HYDRO 2002 Frcst Cost of Srvc - Prop Meth. NP-141 thermal -100 GWH, hydro + 100 GWh Island Isolated

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Line No.	Rate Class	Revenues	Cost of Service Before Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation Credit		Deficit	Revenue Requirement After Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation (Col.3+4+5)	Revenue to Cost Coverage (Col.2/3)
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(002.0)
	Island Isolated						
1	1.2 Domestic Diesel	740,271	5,717,975		(4,977,704)	740,271	0.13
2	1.2G Government Domestic Diesel	12,678	77,247		(64,569)	12,678	0.16
3	1.23 Churches & Community Halls	12,886	65,782		(52,896)	12,886	0.20
4	2.2 GS 10-100 kW	0	0		0	0	0.00
5	2.3 GS 110-1,000 kVa	45,006	233,461		(188,455)	45,006	0.19
6	2.5 GS Diesel	245,849	962,966		(717,117)	245,849	0.26
7	2.5G Gov't General Service Diesel	185,489	691,229		(505,740)	185,489	0.27
8	4.1 Street and Area Lighting	33,376	111,433		(78,057)	33,376	0.30
9	4.1G Gov't Street and Area Lighting	1,562	8,180		(6,618)	1,562	0.19
10	Total	1,277,117	7,868,273		(6,591,156)	1,277,117	0.16

NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR HYDRO 2002 Frcst Cost of Srvc - Prop Meth. NP-141 thermal -100 GWH, hydro + 100 GWh Labrador Isolated

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Line No.	Rate Class	Cost of Service Befo Deficit and Revenue Revenues Credit Allocation		Revenue Credit	Deficit	Revenue Requirement After Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation (Col.3+4+5)	Revenue to Cost Coverage (Col.2/3)
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(
	Labrador Isolated						
1	1.2 Domestic Diesel	1,833,178	9,699,956		(7,866,778)	1,833,178	0.19
2	1.2G Government Domestic Diesel	83,320	346,976		(263,656)	83,320	0.24
3	1.23 Churches & Community Halls	54,749	192,328		(137,579)	54,749	0.28
4	2.2 GS 10-100 kW	45,942	506,058		(460,116)	45,942	0.09
5	2.3 GS 110-1,000 kVa	304,116	1,532,554		(1,228,438)	304,116	0.20
6	2.5 GS Diesel	1,286,816	3,464,113		(2,177,297)	1,286,816	0.37
7	2.5G Gov't General Service Diesel	531,181	1,434,798		(903,617)	531,181	0.37
8	4.1 Street and Area Lighting	63,866	142,499		(78,633)	63,866	0.45
9	4.1G Gov't Street and Area Lighting	2,492	8,668		(6,176)	2,492	0.29
10	Total	4,205,660	17,327,951		(13,122,291)	4,205,660	0.24

NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR HYDRO 2002 Frcst Cost of Srvc - Prop Meth. NP-141 thermal -100 GWH, hydro + 100 GWh L'Anse au Loup

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Line No.	Def		Cost of Service Before Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation	Revenue Credit	Deficit	Revenue Requirement After Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation	Revenue to Cost Coverage
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(Col.3+4+5) (\$)	(Col.2/3)
	L'Anse au Loup						
1	1.1 Domestic	634,530	1,640,124		(1,005,594)	634,530	0.39
2	1.12 Domestic All Electric	28,505	86,866		(58,361)	28,505	0.33
3	2.1 General Service 0-10 kW	148,252	277,002		(128,750)	148,252	0.54
4	2.2 General Service 10-100 kW	220,335	399,911		(179,576)	220,335	0.55
5	2.3 General Service 110-1,000 kVa	68,686	53,312		15,374	68,686	1.29
6	4.1 Street and Area Lighting	35,817	44,598		(8,781)	35,817	0.80
7	Total L'Anse Au Loup	1,136,125	2,501,812		(1,365,687)	1,136,125	0.45

NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR HYDRO

2002 Frcst Cost of Srvc - Prop Meth. NP-141 thermal -100 GWH, hydro + 100 GWh Labrador Interconnected

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Line No.	Rate Class	Revenues	Cost of Service Before Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation	Revenue Credit	Deficit Allocation	Revenue Requirement After Deficit and Revenue Credit Allocation (Col.3+4+5)	Revenue to Cost Coverage (Col.2/3)
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	
	Labrador Interconnected						
1	Industrial IOCC Firm	3,007,393	3,007,393		-	3,007,393	1.00
2	Industrial IOCC Non-Firm	77,182	77,182		-	77,182	1.00
3	Subtotal Industrial	3,084,575	3,084,575		-	3,084,575	1.00
4	CFB - Goose Bay Secondary	2,991,483	138,430	2,808,854	44,199	2,991,483	21.61
	Rural						
5	1.1 Domestic	188,642	300,979	(84,914)	96,100	312,165	0.63
6	1.1A Domestic All Electric	5,521,102	7,160,506	(2,020,162)	2,286,292	7,426,636	0.77
7	2.1 General Service 0-10 kW	217,095	241,493	(68,131)	77,107	250,469	0.90
8	2.2 General Service 10-100 kW	1,448,893	907,148	(255,930)	289,645	940,864	1.60
9	2.3 General Service 110-1,000 kVa	1,997,144	859,223	(242,409)	274,343	891,157	2.32
10	2.4 General Service Over 1,000 kVa	816,016	362,052	(102,144)	115,600	375,508	2.25
11	4.1 Street and Area Lighting	162,693	124,640	(35,164)	39,797	129,273	1.31
12	Subtotal Rural	10,351,585	9,956,042	(2,808,854)	3,178,884	10,326,072	1.04
13	Total Labrador Interconnected	16,427,643	13,179,046	0	3,223,083	16,402,130	1.25

Note1	

Calculation of CFB - Goose Bay Secondary Revenue Credit	
CFB - Goose Bay Secondary Revenues, Ln 4, Col 2	2,991,483
CFB - Goose Bay Secondary Allocated Cost of Service, Ln 4, Col 3	(138,430)
CFB - Goose Bay Secondary Allocated Deficit, Ln 4, Col 5	(44,199)
Credit to be allocated to Firm Regulated Customers	2 808 854

1	Q.	Provi	e details of the calculation of monthly LOLH for the Island				
2		Interd	terconnected system for each month for 2000. Include in the details the				
3		follov	ving:				
4							
5		a)	monthly capacity of hydraulic ge	eneration;			
6		b)	monthly peak loads on the syste	em;			
7		c)	monthly available capacity for th	ermal;			
8		d)	forced outage rate assumptions	on thermal; and			
9		e)	maintenance assumptions on th	ermal.			
10							
11							
12	A.	a)	See attached table.				
13							
14		b)	See attached table.				
15							
16		c)	See attached table.				
17							
18		d)	The forced outage rate assumpt	ions on thermal plant are:			
19			Holyrood units 1, 2, and 3:	10.11%			
20			Gas turbines:	9.02%			
21			Diesel units:	1.18%			
22							
23		e)	The maintenance assumptions of	on thermal plant are:			
24			Holyrood units 1, 2, and 3:	8 weeks per year/unit			
25			Gas turbines:	2 weeks per year/unit			
26			Diesel units:	2 weeks per year/unit			
27							

	NP-156
	2001 General Rate Application
	Page 2 of 3
1	Hydro also assumes that thermal unit maintenance will be performed
2	in the period from April through November.

NP-156 Page 3 of 3

Island Interconnected System Monthly LOLH Calculation Details for 2000

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Monthly LOLH (hours)	0.07736	0.11671	0.01278	0.00011	0.00004	0.00008	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00010	0.00843	0.22016
(a) Hydraulic Capacity (MW)	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179	1179
(b) Peak Loads (MW)	1427	1426	1347	1222	1146	1101	909	895	961	1142	1326	1443
(c) Available Thermal Capacity (MW)	652	652	652	652	491	491	390	405	510	510	652	652

Q. 1 Provide the basis for the allocation of employee future benefits between 2 regulated and non-regulated operations (KCM, page 14, lines 5-8).

3

4

6

11

A. Employee future benefits are included in the Cost of Service as an 5 Overhead, split among systems, functions and classifications based on direct expenses. The allocation between regulated and non-regulated operations is 7 based on the allocation factors applicable to that functionalized and classified 8 amount. Non-regulated operations (IOCC in Labrador Interconnected) is 9 therefore allocated a portion of the expense based on its proportionate 10 Production Demand CP kW, Production and Transmission Energy MWh at

Generation, and Transmission Demand CP kW. See Exhibit JAB-1, page 88.

- 1 Q. Show the calculation performed to remove CF(L)Co from the corporate 2 capitalization to arrive at utility-only capitalization (KCM, page 12, lines 24-
- 3 28).

4

5 A. Please refer to response to IC-197.

Q. 1 In light of Hydro's 75% dividend payment policy, justify the \$70 million 2 dividend proposed in 2002. 3 4 5 A. As indicated in our response to NP-72 (b), a significant portion of the 2002 6 dividend payment represents a payment of dividends that were not paid in 7 previous years to the shareholder. The 2002 dividend payment results in a 8 debt to capital ratio that exceeds our stated goal of 80:20, but this is viewed 9 as temporary. 10 11 While the 2002 dividend payments as estimated are based on requirements 12 as communicated to Hydro by the Department of Finance, they will 13 nevertheless require approval by Hydro's Board of Directors prior to 14 payment. Hydro's current dividend policy requires that dividend payments are 15 to be made only after due consideration has been given by the Board of the 16 impact of such payment on the debt/equity ratio of the Corporation.

Q. Provide a comparison of Hydro's level of subsidization of rural customers to
 the subsidization between classes of customers in other jurisdictions across
 Canada.

5 A. In the spring of 2001, Manitoba Hydro conducted a survey with respect to
6 rates and revenue recovery in remote areas served via diesel generation. A
7 summary of this data is shown below.

Litility	Communities	No of	Operating	
Utility	Communities	INO OI	Operating	
	Served	Cust	Deficit	
			(\$ millions)	
BC Hydro	9	9,100	28	
Northwest Territories Power	F.1	45.000	0	
Commission	51	15,800	0	
ATCO Electric (Alberta)	10	NA	NA	
Newfoundland & Labrador	25	4.500	4.0	
Hydro	25	4,500	16	
Hydro Quebec	40	13,800	106	
Hydro One Remote	20	2.700	40	
Communities (Ontario)	20	3,700	18	
Manitoba Hydro	4	800	3	
Yukon Electrical	10	1,300	NA	

9

11

12

13

4

8

There is cross subsidization in all areas except Northwest Territories Power where current rates recover costs. Both ATCO Electric and Yukon Electrical do not track the operating deficit amounts separately however the costs are recovered through cross subsidization as well.